#### SCHOOL ACCOUNTABILITY PLAN

# Worcester Public Schools 2018 - 2019



Delivering on High Expectations and Outstanding Results for All Students

# **Burncoat Middle School**

# **Mary Scully**

**Principal or Administrator** 

# Maureen Binienda

**Superintendent** 

#### **Coordination and Integration of funds**

All Worcester Public Schools must integrate services and programs with the aim of upgrading the entire educational program of the whole school and to help all students reach proficient and advanced levels of achievement. Integration of services will include the following areas of focus:

**Equity of Access**: Ensuring all students have access to high quality instruction and materials and resources. For example: through Title I, II and III, Focused Instructional Coaches; through Title I, II and Title III supplemental activities including After School and Out-of-School Time activities; Title IVA, technology, supplemental activities and payment of AP fees; SRG, support of additional time for teachers including activities that address equity of access; IDEA activities that support individualized learning, and through Perkins funding, access to materials and credentials for college and career readiness.

**Engagement:** Engagement with families and the various sectors of our community in developing opportunities for all students; Support through Title I of our Parent Information Center and community engagement; Title II, coordination of professional development activities involving engagement; Title III, supplemental parental engagement activities; IDEA, contracted services for health and through Perkins funding, career exploration activities.

Safe and Healthy Students: Create supportive, safe, and orderly learning environments marked by respectful interactions, acceptance, inclusiveness, and responsibilities to one another: Title I, personnel including Wraparound coordinators; Homeless Liaison; Title II coordination of all professional development including PD on SEL; Title III, professional development on co-teaching; Title IV, safety training, safety planning and school safety supplies; IDEA; professional development and through Perkins, professional development on safety training.

**High quality teaching and learning:** To support excellent instruction that improves student skills to prepare them for global citizenship; through Title I, II and III, coaches; Title II, support of professional development activities; Title IV, professional development on technology; IDEA professional development and through Perkins, professional development for teachers.

College and Career Readiness: In support of current standards, activities that help students become college and career ready: Through Title I and Title III, supplemental academic support for struggling students; Title II, coordination of professional development for all college and career readiness activities; Title IV, support of technology and accompanying professional development to increase teacher proficiency and payment for AP fees; IDEA, funding for instructional assistants and Perkins, funding for college and career readiness contractual service provider at our vocational-technical high school.

#### I. School Instructional Leadership Team Members

#### School Instructional Leadership Team (ILT) Members shall include:

- Teachers (Representation of each grade level or dept. /team-specify position, i.e. 2<sup>nd</sup> grade teacher, mathematics chair, etc.)
- Representatives of support populations (Special Education, English Language Learners, and other support staff)
- Administration (Principal, Assistant Principal)

The Instructional Leadership Team's primary role is to help lead the school's effort at supporting the improvement of teaching and learning. The ILT makes decisions about the school's instructional program and leads and monitors the implementation of a sound instructional focus. This instructional focus is unique and tailored to the needs of each school.

The ILT carefully monitors student performance data regarding progress toward goals, conducts several internal audits and self-assessments to help determine future action plans for the school. In order to maintain steady progress, Instructional Leadership Teams meet regularly and frequently, at least twice a month.

| Name             | Position                    | ILT Meeting Dates       |
|------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Mary Scully      | Principal                   | Sept: 9/5 and 9/19      |
| Luke Savage      | Focused Instructional Coach | Oct: 10/3; 10/17; 10/31 |
| Philip King      | Assistant Principal         | Nov: 11/14 and 11/28    |
| Margarita Baez   | Assistant Principal         | Dec: 12/5 and 12/12     |
| Darrent Trotto   | Social Studies Dept. Head   | Jan: 01/02; 1/16; 1/30  |
| Tracy Pobieglo   | Math Dept. Head             | Feb: 2/13 and 2/27      |
| Kerry Trotto     | ELA Dept. Head              | Mar: 3/13 and 3/27      |
| Marta Scarpato   | Spec. Educ. Dept. Head      | Apr: 4/10 and 4/24      |
| Jane McNamara    | Guidance Dept Head          | May: 05/08 and 5/22     |
| Lynn Loftus      | Library/Media               | June: 6/5 and 6/19      |
| Nichole Brundige | ESL teacher                 |                         |

## I. Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Accountability Data

#### 2018 Official Accountability Report - Burncoat Middle School

| Organization Information                    |                               |
|---|-------------------------------|
| DISTRICT NAME<br>Worcester (03480000)       | TITLE I STATUS Title I School |
| SCHOOL<br>Burncoat Middle School (03480405) | GRADES SERVED<br>07,08        |
| REGION<br>West/Central                      | FEDERAL DESIGNATION -         |

#### Accountability Information

Overall classification Requiring assistance or intervention

Reason for classification

In need of focused/targeted support Among the lowest performing 10% of schools

Low subgroup performance: White -Economically disadvantaged -High needs

| Progress toward improvement targets | Accountability percentile |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 18% - Partially meeting targets     | 4                         |

#### Overall results

| Progress toward improvement targets                    |                                    |                  |                                    |             |  |                       |             |
|--|------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|--|-----------------------|-------------|
| Indicator  |                                    | (Non             | All students<br>-high school grade | s)          | Lowest performing students<br>(Non-high school grades) |                       |             |
|  |                                    | Points<br>earned | Total possible points              | Weight<br>% | Points<br>earned                                       | Total possible points | Weight<br>% |
|  | English language arts achievement  | 0                | 4                                  | -           | 0  | 4                     | -           |
| Achievement  | Mathematics achievement            | 0                | 4                                  | -           | 2  | 4                     | -           |
|  | Science achievement                | 0                | 4                                  | -           | -  | -                     | -           |
|  | Achievement total                  | 0                | 12                                 | 60.0        | 2  | 8                     | 67.5        |
|  | English language arts growth       | 2                | 4                                  | -           | 2  | 4                     | -           |
| Growth   | Mathematics growth                 | 1                | 4                                  | -           | 1  | 4                     | -           |
|  | Growth total                       | 3                | 8                                  | 20.0        | 3  | 8                     | 22.5        |
|  | Four-year cohort graduation rate   | -                | -                                  | -           | -  | -                     | -           |
| High school completion                                 | Extended engagement rate           | -                | -                                  | -           | -  | -                     | -           |
| riigii school completion                               | Annual dropout rate                | -                | -                                  | -           | -  | -                     | -           |
|  | High school completion total       | -                | -                                  | -           | -  | -                     | -           |
| Progress toward attaining English language proficiency | English language proficiency total | 0                | 4                                  | 10.0        | -  | -                     | -           |
|  | Chronic absenteeism                | 0                | 4                                  | -           | 2  | 4                     | -           |
| Additional indicators                                  | Advanced coursework completion     | -                | -                                  | -           | -  | -                     | -           |
|  | Additional indicators total        | 0                | 4                                  | 10.0        | 2  | 4                     | 10.0        |
| Weighted total   |                                    | 0.6              | 9.6                                | -           | 2.2  | 7.6                   | -           |
| Percentage of possible points                          |                                    |                  | 6%                                 | -           |  | 29%                   | -           |
| Criterion-referenced target percentage                 |                                    |                  |                                    | 18          | 8%   |                       |             |
| Criterion-referenced larget percentage                 |                                    |                  | Pa                                 | artially me | eting targets  |                       |             |

WILLIAM

# **II. Student Attendance**Student Attendance (2017-18)

| Student Group                      | Attendance<br>Rate | Average # of<br>Absences | Absent 10 or<br>more days | Chronically<br>Absent (10%<br>or more) | Unexcused > 9 days |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--|--------------------|
| All Student                        | 94.9               | 8.8                      | 32.0                      | 13.1                                   | 30.2               |
| Female                             | 94.7               | 9.0                      | 34.7                      | 13.2                                   | 32.5               |
| Male                               | 95.0               | 8.6                      | 29.2                      | 12.9                                   | 28.0               |
| Economically Disadvantaged         | 94.1               | 10.1                     | 38.6                      | 18.9                                   | 36.7               |
| High Needs                         | 94.4               | 9.5                      | 35.9                      | 16.7                                   | 34.1               |
| LEP English language learner       | 94.1               | 10.0                     | 41.1                      | 16.1                                   | 36.3               |
| Students with disabilities         | 93.8               | 10.6                     | 40.8                      | 18.3                                   | 38.7               |
| African American/Black             | 97.1               | 5.0                      | 12.9                      | 5.9                                    | 11.9               |
| Asian                              | 96.6               | 6.0                      | 20.8                      | 8.3                                    | 20.8               |
| Hispanic or Latino                 | 93.9               | 10.4                     | 41.9                      | 15.8                                   | 38.5               |
| Multi-race, non-Hispanic or Latino | 92.5               | 12.4                     | 41.7                      | 30.6                                   | 41.7               |
| White                              | 95.2               | 8.3                      | 28.2                      | 10.5                                   | 27.8               |

# Student Retention (2017-18)

# Student Retention by Percent

| Student Group                          | Enrolled<br># | Retained<br># | Retained % | 01<br>% | 02 % | 03 % | 04 % | 05<br>% | 06<br>% | 07 % | 08 % |
|--|---------------|---------------|------------|---------|------|------|------|---------|---------|------|------|
| All Students                           | 623           | 5             | 0.8        |         |      |      |      |         |         | 1.2  | 0.3  |
| Female                                 | 312           | 3             | 1.0        |         |      |      |      |         |         | 1.1  | 0.8  |
| Male                                   | 311           | 2             | 0.6        |         |      |      |      |         |         | 1.3  | 0.0  |
| Economically Disadvantaged             | 354           | 3             | 0.8        |         |      |      |      |         |         | 1.0  | 0.6  |
| High Needs                             | 424           | 3             | 0.7        |         |      |      |      |         |         | 0.9  | 0.5  |
| LEP English language learner           | 117           | 1             | 0.9        |         |      |      |      |         |         | 0.0  | 1.7  |
| Students with disabilities             | 140           | 1             | 0.7        |         |      |      |      |         |         | 1.4  | 0.0  |
| African<br>American/Black              | 97            | 0             | 0.0        |         |      |      |      |         |         | 0.0  | 0.0  |
| Asian                                  | 23            | 0             | 0.0        |         |      |      |      |         |         | 0.0  | 0.0  |
| Hispanic or Latino                     | 263           | 2             | 0.8        |         |      |      |      |         |         | 1.4  | 0.0  |
| Multi-race, non-<br>Hispanic or Latino | 35            | 1             | 2.9        |         |      |      |      |         |         | 6.3  | 0.0  |
| White                                  | 205           | 2             | 1.0        |         |      |      |      |         |         | 0.9  | 1.0  |

# **State Targets:**

(To be given to principals when released by DESE in November 2018)

# **III. Comprehensive Needs Analysis**

Complete this summary of strengths and concerns after you have completed a thorough data analysis. Please limit your response to three strengths and three concerns.

| Areas of Strength  |   |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|
| Strength   | Evidence  |  |  |
| More than 50% of Grade 7 and grade 8 ELA non-high needs students Met       | 61% Met or Exceeded Expectations                                  |  |  |
| or Exceeded Expectations on 2018 MCAS.                                     | 57 % Met or Exceeded Expectations                                 |  |  |
| Reading and Language domains are stronger than Writing.                    | % of Possible points in Reading 62% (7th) 55%(8th)                |  |  |
|  | % of Possible points in Language 58% (7th) 54%(8th)               |  |  |
| More than 50% of Grade 7-8 Math non-high needs students Met or             | 51% of 7th and 8th grade students Met or Exceeded Expectations    |  |  |
| Exceeded Expectations.   | 54% of 7th grade students Met or Exceeded Expectations            |  |  |
| •  | 47% of 8th grade students Met or Exceeded Expectations            |  |  |
| Achievement on selected response question types were stronger across all   | 38% of possible points on Selected Response items in 7th Math     |  |  |
| disciplines with Science being the strongest.                              | 48% of possible points on Selected Response items in 8th Math     |  |  |
|  | 62% of possible points on Selected Response items in 7th ELA      |  |  |
|  | 54% of possible points on Selected Response items in 8th ELA      |  |  |
|  | 59% of possible points on multiple choice response in 8th Science |  |  |
|  | f Concern   |  |  |
| Concern  | Evidence  |  |  |
| The achievement of our EL students' needs to increase. We must identify    | 2% of ELs Met or Exceeded Expectations on 2018 7&8th ELA MCAS     |  |  |
| strategies that address this specific subgroup and implement them across   | 2% of ELs Met or Exceeded Expectations on 2018 7th Math MCAS      |  |  |
| disciplines to improve student outcomes on assessments.                    | 0% of ELs Met or Exceeded Expectations on 2018 8th Math MCAS      |  |  |
|  | 0% of ELs scored Proficient or Advanced on 2018 8th STE MCAS      |  |  |
| The achievement of our Students With Disabilities needs to increase. We    | 6% of SWD Met or Exceeded Expectations on 2018 7th ELA MCAS       |  |  |
| must identify strategies that address this specific subgroup and implement | 2% of SWD Met or Exceeded Expectations on 2018 8th ELA MCAS       |  |  |
| them across disciplines to improve student outcomes on assessments.        | 2% of SWD Met or Exceeded Expectations on 2018 7th Math MCAS      |  |  |
|  | 2% of SWD Met or Exceeded Expectations on 2018 8th Math MCAS      |  |  |
|  | 2% of SWD scored Proficient or Advanced on 2018 8th STE MCAS      |  |  |

| The achievement of our Economically Disadvantaged students needs to         | 21% of Economically Disadvantaged students Met or Exceeded            |
|---|---|
| increase across all disciplines. We need to strengthen core instruction and | Expectations on 2018 7th ELA MCAS                                     |
| expectations to raise student performance.                                  | 19% of Economically Disadvantaged students Met or Exceeded            |
|   | Expectations on 2018 8th ELA MCAS                                     |
|   | 16% of Economically Disadvantaged students Met or Exceeded            |
|   | Expectations on 2018 7th Math MCAS                                    |
|   | 10% of Economically Disadvantaged students Met or Exceeded            |
|   | Expectations on 2018 8th Math MCAS                                    |
|   | 7% of Economically Disadvantaged students scored Proficient or        |
|   | Advanced on 2018 8th STE MCAS   |
| [Attendance] % of Chronically Absent Students has increased significantly   | [Attendance] Increase from 8.7% [2017] to 12.3% [2018] of Chronically |
| despite a minimal decrease in the Attendance Rate from 95.0 to 94.9         | Absent students   |

#### IV. A. Action Plan

\_

#### Leadership, Shared Responsibility, and Professional Collaboration

Establishing a community of practice through leadership, shared responsibility for all students, and professional collaboration (Focus on improving core instruction and tiered interventions systems using a variety of data)

#### Prioritized Best Practices or Strategies (Include differentiation to ensure access for targeted student populations and PLC practices)

- 1) Using ILT and Professional Learning Community time in both cluster and department to communicate high expectations and positive regard.
  - a) Department Heads and Administrators will participate in additional leadership PLC to support data cycle implementation and monitoring best practices. (\*writing prompts using SRSD in all major content areas and common assessments in Math \*looking at student work, looking at teacher work and calibrating scoring \*strengthen the use of Notice and Note strategies for reading)
  - b) School Leaders will communicate the resultant high expectations and positive regard from this time through weekly bulletins, a coach's site of resources, department and cluster meetings and through focused professional development.
- 2) School leaders will use a targeted rubric to monitor school turnaround efforts and communicate progress and challenges to staff.
- 3) Administration will create opportunities in the schedule for peer observation and collaboration time.

#### Instructional Leadership Team Implementation (Explain how ILT members implement and measure schoolwide strategies.)

The ILT will focus on sharing out SRSD data by department with an emphasis on successes and challenges. School leaders analyze how teachers are doing in each department in terms of teaching various parts of the writing process (i.e. topic introduction, important details, detailed examinations, ending) and reinforcing certain aspects of SRSD scoring scales (dependent on content areas) that data indicates students need more support in.

**School Performance Indicators and Data Sources** 

| School Littormance maleutors and Data Sources                       |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| ADULT IMPLEMENTATION INDICATOR                                      | STUDENT RESULTS INDICATOR                                    |  |  |  |
| Data Source:  | Data Source:   |  |  |  |
| Department heads and administrators will monitor lesson plans and   | Student work, including common assessments and high and low  |  |  |  |
| common assessment results and provide targeted feedback.            | TIDE SRSD responses, will be reviewed and analyzed in both   |  |  |  |
| Administrators will use an observation tool that targets identified | cluster and department PLCs.                                 |  |  |  |
| areas of priority "Student Cognition" and "Effective Use of Time".  | Common Assessment data                                       |  |  |  |
| Each department has a data cycle 4 times per year in which they     | STAR testing results in the Fall, Winter, and Spring will be |  |  |  |
| analyze SRSD High Tide writing.                                     | monitored and analyzed.                                      |  |  |  |
| PLC agendas and minutes   | MCAS scores  |  |  |  |

#### IV. B. Action Plan

#### **Intentional Practices for Improving Instruction** Employing intentional practices for improving teacher-specific and student-responsive instruction (Focus on refining the use of observations and student-specific data so that constructive feedback to teachers is provided and studentspecific needs are clearly identified to inform instructional responses) **Prioritized Best Practices or** Instructional Expectations - Explicitly communicated to teachers [admin] a) Language objectives are expected to be present and explicitly communicated to all students **Strategies** (Include differentiation to ensure in all classes. b) Common Language of SRSD mnemonics and strategies (TIDE, Think-alouds, Group access for targeted student Writes, Self-Talk, etc.) are also expected in all content classes.) populations including EL and c) Close Reading strategies from Notice & Note (fiction and nonfiction) are expected to be students with disabilities) implemented and evident in all classes across the school, including enrichment Data driven decision making Standards Based Do-Nows for Common Assessments in Math. b) Use Looking at Student Work (LASW) and Looking at Teacher Work (LATW) protocols during department and cluster PLCs to identify areas of concern and reteach or change strategies after immediate analysis. 3) Supporting ELs and SWDs Administrators will collaborate with district EL and SWD staff to support content teachers to improve core instruction for ELs and SWD. **Instructional Leadership Team** The ILT [department head members] will give targeted feedback of these specific practices through **Implementation** lesson plans and observations. Evaluators will share out school wide data from observation tool. Sharing out feedback and updates from department and cluster PLCs as they pertain to best practices. (Explain how ILT members implement and measure schoolwide strategies.) **School Performance Indicators and Data Sources** ADULT IMPLEMENTATION INDICATOR STUDENT RESULTS INDICATOR

# ADULT IMPLEMENTATION INDICATORSTUDENT RESULTS INDICATORData Source:Department heads and administrators will monitor lesson plans and common assessment results and give targeted feedback on each.Common Assessment DataAdministrators will use an observation tool that targets identified area of priority including "Student Cognition" and "Effective use of Time."Student writing samplesStudent work, including common assessments and high and low TIDE SRSD responses, will be reviewed and analyzed in PLCs.STAR testing results in the Fall, Winter, and Spring will be monitored and analyzed.MCAS scores

#### IV. C. Action Plan

#### **Providing Student-Specific Supports and Instruction to All Students**

Providing student-specific supports and interventions informed by data and the identification of student-specific needs (Focus on developing a sophisticated approach to using systems of assessments, responding to assessments to deploy interventions and resources, and continuously reviewing the impact of interventions with students). (Specifically address students not meeting targets)

| <b>Prioritized Best Practices or</b> | 1) Goal setting  |  |  |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Strategies                           | a) All teachers will work on goal setting around academics, attendance and high school planning with   |  |  |
| (Include differentiation to ensure   | students as dictated by their individual needs.  |  |  |
| access for targeted student          | b) The SRSD scale contains a self-reflection piece that prompts students to look at their writing and set  |  |  |
| populations including bottom 25%)    | goals for next time.   |  |  |
|                                      | 2) Literacy  |  |  |
|                                      | a) Teachers identify Focused Correction Areas and Six Traits Writing connected to SRSD scoring scale for individual students based on in-class writing assignments and formative assessments in ELA classes. |  |  |
|                                      | b) The lowest performing students are scheduled into Reading classes (and re-distributed as identified) based on need according to MCAS and STAR data.   |  |  |
|                                      | 3) Targeting bottom 25%  |  |  |
|                                      | a) Shorter (LowTIDE) data cycles will be implemented to inform instruction.  |  |  |
|                                      | b) Schedule allows PLCs to include Special Education Teachers. Teachers collaborate to scaffold and  |  |  |
|                                      | differentiate lessons, assignments, and assessments.   |  |  |
|                                      | c) Administrators will collaborate with district EL coaches to support teachers and improve core   |  |  |
|                                      | instruction for ELs  |  |  |
| Instructional Leadership Team        | The ILT will set dates and expectations for quarterly goal setting.  |  |  |
| Implementation                       | Department heads will report out agreed upon next steps and changes in instructional practices based on the  |  |  |
| (Explain how ILT members             | data discussions and student work analyses in PLCs.  |  |  |
| implement and measure school- wide   | le The ILT will examine trends by content and cluster.   |  |  |
| strategies.)                         |  |  |  |
|                                      |  |  |  |

| School Performance Ind  | icators and Data Sources   |
|---|--|
| ADULT IMPLEMENTATION INDICATOR  | STUDENT RESULTS INDICATOR  |
| Data Source:  | Data Source:   |
| Department heads and administrators will monitor lesson plans and common assessment results and give targeted feedback on each.  Administrators will use an observation tool that targets identified area of priority including "Student Cognition" and "Effective use of Time" | Student work, including common assessments and high and low TIDE SRSD responses, will be reviewed and analyzed in PLCs. STAR testing results in the Fall, Winter, and Spring will be monitored and analyzed. |

#### IV. D. Action Plan

#### A Safe, Respectful, and Collegial Climate for Teachers, Students and Families

Establishing a safe, orderly and respectful environment for students and a collegial, collaborative and professional culture among teachers (Focus on developing a welcoming school through a safe and orderly climate that supports student learning within and outside the classrooms as well as a supportive and professional climate for teachers to collectively focus on and pursue efforts to increase student achievement)

#### Prioritized Best Practices or Strategies (Include differentiation to ensure access for targeted student populations)

- 1. All staff have access to Teacher Handbook regarding explicit expectations for staff behavior and student supervision. This message is relentlessly conveyed and modeled through interactions at PLCs, faculty meetings, 1:1, emails and weekly communications to ALL staff by administrators.
- 2. Positive Behavioral Strategy Interventions [PBIS] include faculty led initiatives which recognize students for being ready, being respectful, being responsible and being safe. These include whole-school and grade level assemblies to teach students safe habits and to recognize attendance, academic and social growth. [earned gotchas; Gotcha cart; school store, art contest, gotchafest] Also includes adult mentoring to at risk students. PBIS activities also include student led morning announcements; use of student agendas reinforced by teachers with students and utilized for attendance, academic and social growth to be shared with parents.
- **3.** SWAT initiative is SEL based, open to all students and led by SACs to have student centered dialogues which create plans that lead to improvement in school climate based on student input and action.

#### Instructional Leadership Team Implementation (Explain how ILT members implement and measure schoolwide strategies.)

ILT will provide feedback from departments and clusters regarding areas of concern around both staff behavior and student supervision. Volunteer faculty committee works on school culture, reporting back to administration areas of needed improvement.

| School Performance Ind   | icators and Data Sources                                  |
|--|---|
| ADULT IMPLEMENTATION INDICATOR                                     | STUDENT RESULTS INDICATOR                                 |
| Data Source:   | Data Source:  |
| Faculty feedback; level of active student participation in PBIS    | Daily and Monthly Attendance Reports                      |
| events [i.e. gotcha store, holiday cart, disciplinary reports]     | Monthly Discipline data                                   |
| Events that take place [i.e. percentages of students recognized at | Grading reports by quarters [decline in student failures] |
| school wide assemblies for improvement]                            |   |
| Disaggregated data on student behaviors [hallways and classrooms]  |   |
| Data regarding staff and student attendance is examined daily as   |   |
| measure of effectiveness of school wide strategies.                |   |

#### IV.E. Action Plan

#### **Reducing Chronic Absenteeism**

Providing student-specific supports and interventions informed by data and the identification of student-specific needs. (Focus on developing a climate that fosters home-school communication, student engagement, provides individualized supports for students and a system to regularly review the impact of interventions with students).

- 1. Relentless communication about the accuracy of reporting tardies and absences; attendance a part of every conversation all staff has with parent(s). Check daily attendance in SAGE; make phone call for 2 consecutive days absent without a reason; including using translators as necessary [SACs]. Review monthly chronic absenteeism with building based team, which includes both EL and Sp Ed staff representation.
- 2. Connect EL, SWD and gen ed students to 21st Century After School program to increase their connections to school personnel, improved performance and desire to maintain good attendance.
- **3.** Identify quarterly attendance and achievement celebrations (please specify): Q1 (Nov) school wide assembly celebrating students who model consistent effort and attendance, featuring student performers; Q2 and Q3 recognizing continued improvement of students during school wide assemblies; increasing the number of areas in which students can make progress featuring student music, dance and theatre performers.

Instructional Leadership Team Implementation (Explain how ILT members implement and measure schoolwide strategies.) ILT members will continually convey message to staff regarding boosting student attendance within their classrooms and clusters. SACs also work with administrative leadership team daily to help individual students to reduce their chronic absences by meeting with parents, making frequent phone calls, conducting home visits, meeting with home and hospital tutors and guidance to coordinate the transference and receiving of school work.

#### **School Performance Indicators and Data Sources**

| ADULT IMPLEMENTATION INDICATOR                        | STUDENT RESULTS INDICATOR                             |  |
|---|---|--|
| Data Source:  | Data Source:  |  |
| Daily attendance                                      | Daily attendance                                      |  |
| Monthly system wide attendance data                   | Monthly system wide attendance data                   |  |
| Disaggregated data by subgroups on student attendance | Disaggregated data by subgroups on student attendance |  |

# **Worcester Public Schools Professional Learning Plan (PLP)**

| District Name            | School Name            | Principal Name | Plan Begin/End |
|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------|----------------|
|                          |                        |                | Dates          |
| Worcester Public Schools | Burncoat Middle School | Mary Scully    | 09/18 - 06/19  |

#### 1: Professional Learning Goals:

| No. | Goal                                      | Identified<br>Group       | Rationale/Sources of Evidence |
|-----|---|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1   | Improve students' reading comprehension.  | All Teachers              | 2018 MCAS Data                |
| 2   | Improve student outcomes in Mathematics.  | Math Department (SPED)    | 2018 MCAS Data                |
| 3   | Improve open response writing in Science. | Science department (SPED) | 2018 MCAS Data                |

2: Professional Learning Activities

| PL<br>Go<br>al<br>No. | Initial Activities   | Follow-up Activities<br>(as appropriate)   |
|-----------------------|--|--|
| 1                     | Introduce, refresh, and review close reading strategies (from Notice & Note texts) | activity sharing and modeling lessons during PD time; common lesson planning [during PLC time] Classroom observations. |
| 2                     | Focus on accurate problem solving process  | common assessment development lesson and activity sharing common lesson planning [during PLC time]                     |
| 3                     | Develop and calibrate a new scientific writing rubric using the                    | Develop quick writes that also align to the CER rubric   |
|                       | CER (Claim, Evidence, Reasoning) language model.                                   | (to be given between quarterly SRSD writing prompts).  |

#### **3: Essential Resources**

| PL<br>Goal<br>No. | Resources                                       | Other Implementation Considerations  |
|-------------------|---|--|
| 1                 | Notice and Note texts, notes, resources         | Admin/FIC/staff to develop and deliver provide updated PD around specific Notice and Note strategies [i.e. Close Reading Strategies] |
| 2                 | Researched best practices in middle school Math | Middle Schools Network sharing of successful practices in Math instruction;  |
| 3                 | research; exemplars;                            | PLC - transference to language pertinent to our [scientific] language needs and acclimating students to it                           |

## 4: Progress Summary

| P<br>Ge<br>N | oal | Notes on Plan Implementation   | Notes on Goal Attainment   |
|--------------|-----|--|--|
| ]            | 1   | Dissemination of revised SAP to staff  | Communication on how we are doing with goals via weekly bulletin, ILT agendas and minutes, PLC agendas and minutes   |
| 2            | 2   | Restructuring of our PLC procedures and protocols to maximize the time and quality of collaboration occurring. | Meeting Format; Ongoing Objectives - examination of how they relate directly back to the SAP; Responsibilities, Follow Up to proposed actions [by all PLC members] |
|              | 3   | Calibrating what is a good lesson plan, key look fors in observations; calibration of observations.            | Protocols (via ILT meetings) on quality lesson plans and observations; Look Fors to include "Student Cognition" and "Effective use of Time"                        |