



Massachusetts Model System for Educator Evaluation

DRAFT TEACHER RUBRIC July 2017 Refinements

Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education
75 Pleasant Street, Malden, MA02148-4906
Phone 781-338-3000 TTY: N.E.T. Relay 800-439-2370
www.doe.mass.edu

DRAFT Teacher Rubric: 2017 Proposed Refinements

July 2017

Dear Educators,

We are excited to share with you the following draft teacher rubric for your consideration. The [Model Classroom Teacher Rubric](#) was first introduced in January 2012. Since then, it has been adopted or adapted by over 90 percent of Massachusetts school districts.

The model rubric was never intended to be a static document, and ESE has spent the past four years learning about its use in districts throughout the Commonwealth. Informed by feedback from teachers and principals, as well as recent shifts in instructional approaches related to social-emotional learning and revisions to the state curriculum frameworks, ESE partnered with teachers and principals to conduct a comprehensive study of the rubric during the 2016-17 school year. This draft rubric is the result of this partnership and includes proposed refinements that do the following:

- improve exemplary descriptors,
- streamline redundant content,
- illuminate social-emotional learning competencies within existing rubric language, and
- align practices embodied in the MA curriculum frameworks to rubric elements.

How to read the draft rubric and understand the proposed refinements. The proposed refinements fall into four categories:

- **BLUE FONT** reflects refinements to the Exemplary descriptor (and corresponding Proficient descriptor updates, when necessary).
- **GREEN FONT** reflects refinements that remove or clarify redundant content.
- **RED FONT** reflects refinements that align existing rubric descriptors to social-emotional learning competencies.
- **PURPLE FONT** reflects refinements that align rubric descriptors to key instructional practices embedded in the Massachusetts curriculum frameworks.

More information about each category and the corresponding refinements can be found here:

<http://www.doe.mass.edu/eval/sprating/rubricproject/refinements/>

What remains the same? The majority of the rubric's content remains the same. Careful attention was paid to ensure that these refinements do not amount to additional expectations or "one more thing," but rather strengthen the rubric's utility, making it more relevant and more actionable for both teachers and their evaluators. The structure of the rubric also remains the same: there are four Standards of Effective Practice, sixteen Indicators, and corresponding elements with descriptions of practice along four performance levels. Finally, the vertical and horizontal alignment of the rubric also remain intact, with the quality, scope and consistency of practice driving the progression from Unsatisfactory through Needs Improvement, Proficient, and Exemplary.

How can I share my feedback on these draft refinements with ESE? ESE is collecting feedback on the proposed refinements throughout the 2017-18 school year. Whether part of a pilot school or district or not, you may share your input here:

<http://www.doe.mass.edu/eval/sprating/rubricproject/refinements/>. Your feedback will directly inform updates to the model rubric as ESE works to finalize refinements and release the new Model Classroom Teacher Rubric in Summer 2018.

Thank you.

Teacher Rubric At-A-Glance

Rubrics – defined in the regulations as “scoring tool[s] that describe characteristics of practice or artifacts at different levels of performance” ([603 CMR 35.02](#)) – are a critical component of the Massachusetts educator evaluation framework and are required for every educator. Rubrics are designed to help educators and evaluators (1) develop a consistent, shared understanding of what proficient performance looks like in practice, (2) develop a common terminology and structure to organize evidence, and (3) make informed professional judgments about formative and summative performance ratings on each Standard and overall. This appendix contains the ESE Model Teacher Rubric.

Structure of the Teacher Rubric

- **Standards:** Standards are the broad categories of knowledge, skills, and performance of effective practice detailed in the regulations. There are four Standards for teachers: *Curriculum, Planning, and Assessment*; *Teaching All Students*; *Family and Community Engagement*; and *Professional Culture*.
- **Indicators:** Indicators, also detailed in the regulations, describe specific knowledge, skills, and performance for each Standard. For example, there are three Indicators in Standard I of the teacher rubric: *Curriculum and Planning*; *Assessment*; and *Analysis*.
- **Elements:** The elements are more specific descriptions of actions and behaviors related to each Indicator. The elements further break down the Indicators into more specific aspects of educator practice and provide an opportunity for evaluators to offer detailed feedback that serves as a roadmap for improvement.
- **Descriptors:** Performance descriptors are observable and measurable statements of educator actions and behaviors aligned to each element and serve as the basis for identifying the level of teaching or administrative performance in one of four categories: *Unsatisfactory*, *Needs Improvement*, *Proficient*, or *Exemplary*.

Use of the Teacher Rubric

This rubric describes teaching practice. It is intended to be used throughout the 5 step evaluation cycle for all teachers, including teachers of whole classrooms, small groups, individual students, or any combination of the above. The rubric is designed to be applicable to general education teachers from pre-K through Advanced Placement, as well as teachers with specialized classes or knowledge, including teachers of English Language Learners, and special education teachers; districts may also choose to use this rubric for educators in other roles such as specialists.

The responsibilities of teachers to whom this rubric will be applied may vary. ESE encourages educators and evaluators to use the rubric strategically by discussing and agreeing upon certain Indicators and Elements that should be high priorities according to that educator’s role and responsibilities as well as his/her professional practice and student learning needs. There are a variety of ways to emphasize these components throughout the evaluation cycle. For example, high priority Indicators and/or elements can be analyzed in greater depth during self-assessment, targeted during goal setting, a focus for more comprehensive evidence collection, or all of the above. The expectation is that by the end of the evaluation cycle, educators and evaluators have gathered and shared a reasonable amount of evidence **related to practice in all four Standards**, sufficient to support a rating for each Standard. **Evaluators may request additional evidence as needed.**

DRAFT Teacher Rubric: 2017 Proposed Refinements

Standard I: Curriculum, Planning, and Assessment	Standard II: Teaching All Students	Standard III: Family and Community Engagement	Standard IV: Professional Culture
<p>A. Curriculum and Planning Indicator</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Subject Matter Knowledge 2. Child and Adolescent Development 3. Well-Structured Unit and Lesson Design 4. Well-Structured Lessons <p>B. Assessment Indicator</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Variety of Assessment Methods 2. Adjustments to Practice <p>C. Analysis Indicator</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Analysis and Conclusions 2. Sharing Conclusions With Colleagues 3. Sharing Conclusions With Students 	<p>A. Instruction Indicator</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Quality of Effort and Work 2. Student Engagement 3. Meeting Diverse Needs <p>B. Learning Environment Indicator</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Safe Learning Environment 2. Collaborative Learning Environment 3. Student Motivation <p>C. Cultural Proficiency Indicator</p> <p>1. Respects Differences</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Creates and Maintains a Respectful Environment <p>D. Expectations Indicator</p> <p>1. Clear Expectations</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. High Expectations 2. Access to Knowledge 	<p>A. Engagement Indicator</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Parent/Family Engagement <p>B. Collaboration Indicator</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Learning Expectations 2. Curriculum Support <p>C. Communication Indicator</p> <p>1. Two-Way Communication</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Culturally Proficient Communication 	<p>A. Reflection Indicator</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reflective Practice 2. Goal Setting <p>B. Professional Growth Indicator</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Professional Learning and Growth <p>C. Collaboration Indicator</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Professional Collaboration <p>D. Decision-Making Indicator</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Decision-making <p>E. Shared Responsibility Indicator</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Shared Responsibility <p>F. Professional Responsibilities Indicator</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Judgment 2. Reliability and Responsibility

How to reference 2017 draft refinements:

- **BLUE FONT** reflects refinements to the Exemplary descriptor (and corresponding Proficient descriptor updates, when necessary)
- **GREEN FONT** reflects refinements designed to eliminate redundant content
- **RED FONT** reflects refinements designed to align existing practices to social-emotional learning
- **PURPLE FONT** reflects refinements designed to align existing practices to the Massachusetts curriculum frameworks

Standards and Indicators of Effective Teaching Practice: DRAFT Teacher Rubric (2017 Proposed Refinements)

Standard I: Curriculum, Planning, and Assessment. *The teacher promotes the learning and growth of all students by providing high-quality and coherent instruction, designing and administering authentic and meaningful student assessments, analyzing student performance and growth data, using this data to improve instruction, providing students with constructive feedback on an ongoing basis, and continuously refining learning objectives.*

Indicator I-A. Curriculum and Planning: Knows the subject matter well, has a good grasp of child development and how students learn, and designs effective and rigorous standards-based units of instruction consisting of well-structured lessons with measurable outcomes.				
I-A. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
I-A-1. Subject Matter Knowledge	Demonstrates limited knowledge of the subject matter and/or its pedagogy; relies heavily on textbooks or resources for development of the factual content. Rarely engages students in learning experiences focused on complex knowledge or subject-specific skills and vocabulary.	Demonstrates factual knowledge of subject matter and the pedagogy it requires by sometimes engaging students in learning experiences that enable them to acquire complex knowledge and subject-specific skills and vocabulary.	Demonstrates sound knowledge and understanding of the subject matter and the pedagogy it requires by consistently engaging students in learning experiences that enable them to acquire complex knowledge and subject-specific skills and vocabulary, such that they are able to make and assess evidence-based claims and arguments.	Demonstrates expertise in subject matter and the pedagogy it requires by consistently engaging all students in learning experiences that enable them to acquire, synthesize and apply complex knowledge and subject-specific skills and vocabulary, such that they are able to make and assess evidence-based claims and arguments. Models this practice for others.
I-A-2. Child and Adolescent Development	Demonstrates little or no knowledge of developmental levels of students in this grade or subject, or differences in how students learn. Typically develops one learning experience for all students that does not enable most students to meet the intended outcomes.	Demonstrates knowledge of developmental levels of students in this grade or subject, but does not identify developmental levels and ways of learning among the students in the class and/or develops learning experiences that enable some, but not all, students to problem-solve, make responsible decisions, and move toward meeting intended outcomes.	Demonstrates knowledge of the developmental levels of students in this grade or subject and the different ways they learn by providing differentiated learning experiences that enable all students to problem-solve, make responsible decisions, and progress toward meeting intended outcomes.	Demonstrates expert knowledge of the developmental levels of students in this grade or subject, as well as the teacher's own students, and uses this knowledge to differentiate and expand learning experiences that enable all students to problem-solve, make responsible decisions, and make significant progress toward meeting intended outcomes. Models this practice for others.

Note: At the *Exemplary* level, an educator's level of expertise is such that he or she models this element for other educators through training, teaching, coaching, assisting, and/or demonstrating. In this rubric, this level of expertise is denoted by "Models this practice for others."

Standards and Indicators of Effective Teaching Practice: DRAFT Teacher Rubric (2017 Proposed Refinements)

I-A. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
I-A-3. Well-Structured Unit and Lesson Design	Plans individual lessons rather than units of instruction; constructs units of instruction that are not aligned with state standards/ local curricula; and/or designs lessons that lack measurable outcomes, fail to include appropriate student engagement strategies, and/or include tasks that mostly rely on lower level thinking skills.	Designs units of instruction comprised of lessons that address some knowledge and skills defined in state standards/local curricula and include some elements of appropriate student engagement strategies, but some student outcomes are poorly defined and/or tasks are not challenging.	Designs standards-based units comprised of well-structured lessons that include challenging tasks and measurable outcomes; appropriate student engagement strategies, pacing, sequence, resources, and grouping; purposeful questioning; and strategic use of technology and digital media; such that students are able to learn the knowledge and skills defined in state standards/local curricula.	Designs standards-based units comprised of well-structured lessons that include challenging tasks and measurable outcomes; appropriate student engagement strategies, pacing, sequence, resources, and grouping; purposeful questioning; and strategic use of technology and digital media; such that all students are able to learn and apply in authentic contexts the knowledge and skills defined in state standards/local curricula. Models this practice for others.
I-A-4. Well-Structured Lessons	Develops lessons with inappropriate student engagement strategies, pacing, sequence, activities, materials, resources, and/or grouping for the intended outcome or for the students in the class.	Develops lessons with only some elements of appropriate student engagement strategies, pacing, sequence, activities, materials, resources, and grouping.	Develops well-structured lessons with challenging, measurable objectives and appropriate student engagement strategies, pacing, sequence, activities, materials, resources, technologies, and grouping.	Develops well-structured and highly engaging lessons with challenging, measurable objectives and appropriate student engagement strategies, pacing, sequence, activities, materials, resources, technologies, and grouping to attend to every student's needs that meet the needs of all students. Models this practice for others.

Note: At the *Exemplary* level, an educator's level of expertise is such that he or she models this element **for other educators** through training, teaching, coaching, assisting, and/or demonstrating. In this rubric, this level of expertise is denoted by "**Models this practice for others.**"

Standards and Indicators of Effective Teaching Practice: DRAFT Teacher Rubric (2017 Proposed Refinements)

Indicator I-B. Assessment: Uses a variety of informal and formal methods of assessments to measure student learning, growth, and understanding to develop differentiated and enhanced learning experiences and improve future instruction.				
I-B. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
I-B-1. Variety of Assessment Methods	Administers only the assessments required by the school and/or measures only point-in-time student achievement.	May administer some informal and/or formal assessments to measure student learning but rarely measures student progress toward achieving state/local standards.	Uses a variety of informal and formal methods and assessments, including common interim assessments, to measure students' learning, growth, and progress toward achieving state/local standards.	Designs and administers a comprehensive system of informal and formal assessments, including common interim assessments and ongoing progress monitoring methods , to measure each student's learning, growth, and progress toward achieving state/local standards. Models this practice for others.
I-B-2. Adjustment to Practice	Makes few adjustments to practice based on formal and informal assessments.	May organize and analyze some assessment results but only occasionally adjusts practice or modifies future instruction based on the findings.	Organizes and analyzes results from a variety of assessments to determine progress toward intended outcomes and uses these findings to adjust practice and identify and/or implement appropriate differentiated interventions and enhancements for students.	Regularly organizes and analyzes results from a variety of assessments to determine progress toward intended outcomes and uses these findings to adjust practice in real-time and in upcoming lessons or units by (a) identifying and/or implementing appropriate differentiated interventions and enhancements for all students, and (b) making appropriate modifications to lessons and units. Models this practice for others.

Note: At the *Exemplary* level, an educator's level of expertise is such that he or she models this element **for other educators** through training, teaching, coaching, assisting, and/or demonstrating. In this rubric, this level of expertise is denoted by "**Models this practice for others.**"

Standards and Indicators of Effective Teaching Practice: DRAFT Teacher Rubric (2017 Proposed Refinements)

Indicator I-C. Analysis: Analyzes data from assessments, draws conclusions, and shares them appropriately.				
I-C. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
I-C-1. Analysis and Conclusions	Does not draw conclusions from student data beyond completing minimal requirements such as grading for report cards.	Draws conclusions from a limited analysis of student data to inform student grading and promotion decisions.	Individually and with colleagues, Draws appropriate conclusions from a thorough analysis of a wide range of assessment data to inform instructional decisions and improve student learning.	Individually and with colleagues, - Draws appropriate, actionable conclusions from a thorough analysis of a wide range of assessment data to inform short- and long-term instructional decisions that improve learning for all students. Models this practice for others.
I-C-2. Sharing Conclusions With Colleagues	Rarely shares with colleagues conclusions about student progress and/or rarely seeks feedback.	Only occasionally shares with colleagues conclusions about student progress and/or only occasionally seeks feedback from them about practices that will support improved student learning.	Regularly shares with appropriate colleagues (e.g., general education, special education, and English learner staff) conclusions about student progress and seeks feedback from them about instructional or assessment practices that will support improved student learning.	Establishes and implements a schedule and plan for regularly sharing with all appropriate colleagues conclusions and insights about student progress. Seeks and applies feedback from them about instructional and assessment practices that will support improved student learning. Is able to model this element.
I-C-3. Sharing Conclusions With Students	Neither provides nor solicits feedback from students about their performance except through grades or report of task completion, or provides inappropriate feedback that does not support students to improve their performance.	Provides some feedback to students about performance beyond grades but rarely shares strategies or solicits feedback from students on how to improve their performance toward objectives.	Based on assessment data, provides descriptive feedback to students and families, engages them in constructive conversation, and seeks feedback that focuses on how students can improve their performance.	Establishes regular feedback loops with students and families that support constructive conversation about student performance, progress, and improvements based on assessment data. Models this practice for others.

Note: At the *Exemplary* level, an educator's level of expertise is such that he or she models this element for other educators through training, teaching, coaching, assisting, and/or demonstrating. In this rubric, this level of expertise is denoted by "Models this practice for others."

Standards and Indicators of Effective Teaching Practice:

DRAFT Teacher Rubric (2017 Proposed Refinements)

Standard II: Teaching All Students. *The teacher promotes the learning and growth of all students through instructional practices that establish high expectations, create a safe and effective classroom environment, and demonstrate cultural proficiency.*

Indicator II-A. Instruction: Uses instructional practices that reflect high expectations regarding content and quality of effort and work; engage all students; and are personalized to accommodate diverse learning styles, needs, interests, and levels of readiness.				
II-A. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
II-A-1. Quality of Effort and Work	Establishes no or low expectations around quality of work and effort and/or offers few supports for students to produce quality work or effort.	May state high expectations for quality and effort, but provides few exemplars and rubrics, limited guided practice, and/or few other supports to help students know what is expected of them; may establish inappropriately low expectations for quality and effort.	Consistently defines high expectations for the quality of student work and the perseverance and effort required to produce it; often provides exemplars, rubrics, and guided practice.	Consistently demonstrates high expectations for the quality of student work and the perseverance and effort required to produce it; supports students to set high expectations for themselves and for each other to produce high-quality work. Models this practice for others.
II-A-2. Student Engagement	Uses instructional practices that leave most students uninvolved and/or passive participants.	Uses instructional practices that motivate and engage some students but leave others uninvolved and/or passive participants.	Consistently uses instructional practices that are likely to motivate and engage most students during the lesson.	Consistently uses instructional practices that motivate and engage all students both during the lesson and independent work. Models this practice for others.
II-A-3. Meeting Diverse Needs	Uses limited and/or inappropriate practices to accommodate differences.	May use some appropriate inclusive practices to accommodate differences, but fails to address an adequate range of differences.	Uses appropriate inclusive practices, such as tiered supports and scaffolded instruction, to accommodate differences in students' learning needs, abilities, interests, and levels of readiness, including those of academically advanced students, students with disabilities, and English learners.	Uses a variety of appropriate inclusive practices, such as tiered supports and scaffolded instruction, to address specific differences in individual students' learning needs, abilities, interests, and levels of readiness, creating structured opportunities for each student to meet or exceed state standards/local curriculum and behavioral expectations. Models this practice for others.

Note: At the *Exemplary* level, an educator's level of expertise is such that he or she models this element **for other educators** through training, teaching, coaching, assisting, and/or demonstrating. In this rubric, this level of expertise is denoted by "**Models this practice for others.**"

Standards and Indicators of Effective Teaching Practice: DRAFT Teacher Rubric (2017 Proposed Refinements)

Indicator II-B. Learning Environment: Creates and maintains a safe and collaborative learning environment that motivates students to take academic risks, challenge themselves, and claim ownership of their learning.				
II-B. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
II-B-1. Safe Learning Environment	Maintains a physical environment that is unsafe or does not support student learning. Uses inappropriate or ineffective rituals, routines, and/or responses to reinforce positive behavior or respond to behaviors that interfere with students' learning.	May create and maintain a safe physical environment but inconsistently maintains rituals, routines, and responses needed to prevent and/or stop behaviors that interfere with all students' learning.	Uses rituals, routines, and appropriate responses that create and maintain a safe physical and intellectual environment where students take academic risks and most behaviors that interfere with learning are prevented.	Uses rituals, routines, and proactive responses that create and maintain a safe physical and intellectual environment where students take academic risks and play an active role—individually and collectively—in preventing behaviors that interfere with learning. <i>Models this practice for others.</i>
II-B-2. Collaborative Learning Environment	Makes little effort to teach relationship and communication skills or facilitate student work in groups, or such attempts are ineffective.	Teaches some relationship and communication skills by providing some opportunities for students to work in groups and facilitating meaningful discourse.	Develops students' relationship and communication skills by providing opportunities for students to learn in groups with diverse peers and facilitating meaningful academic discourse.	Develops and reinforces students' relationship and communication skills by providing opportunities for students to learn in groups with diverse peers and facilitating meaningful academic discourse, such that students regularly seek out their peers as resources. Models this practice for others.
II-B-3. Student Motivation	Directs all learning experiences, providing few, if any, opportunities for students to take academic risks or challenge themselves to learn.	Creates some learning experiences that guide students to identify needs, ask for support, exercise self-management , and challenge themselves to take academic risks.	Regularly creates learning experiences that guide students to identify their strengths, interests, and needs; ask for support when appropriate; take academic risks; exercise self-management; and challenge themselves to learn.	Consistently supports all students to identify strengths, interests, and needs; set and pursue learning goals; ask for support when appropriate ; take academic risks ; exercise self-management ; challenge themselves; and monitor their own progress. <i>Models this practice for others.</i>

Note: At the *Exemplary* level, an educator's level of expertise is such that he or she models this element **for other educators** through training, teaching, coaching, assisting, and/or demonstrating. In this rubric, this level of expertise is denoted by "**Models this practice for others.**"

Standards and Indicators of Effective Teaching Practice: DRAFT Teacher Rubric (2017 Proposed Refinements)

Indicator II-C. Cultural Proficiency: Actively creates and maintains an environment in which students' diverse backgrounds, identities, strengths, and challenges are respected.				
II-C. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
II-C-1. Creates and Maintains a Respectful Environment	Establishes an environment in which students demonstrate limited respect for individual differences, and fails to identify and/or responds in inappropriate ways when conflicts arise.	Establishes an environment in which students generally demonstrate respect for individual differences; anticipates and responds appropriately to some conflicts or misunderstandings but ignores and/or minimizes others.	Establishes an environment in which students demonstrate respect for and affirm their own (self-awareness) and others' (social awareness) differences related to background, identity, language, strengths, and challenges, and responds appropriately if/when conflicts or misunderstandings arise related to such differences.	Establishes an environment in which students respect and affirm their own (self-awareness) and others' (social awareness) differences and are supported to share, explore, and initiate dialogue about differences and similarities related to background, identity, language, strengths, and challenges. Responds appropriately if/when conflicts or misunderstandings arise related to such differences. Models this practice for others.
II-C-2. Maintains Respectful Environment	Minimizes or ignores conflicts and/or responds in inappropriate ways.	Anticipates and responds appropriately to some conflicts or misunderstandings but ignores and/or minimizes others.	Anticipates and responds appropriately to conflicts or misunderstandings arising from differences in backgrounds, languages, and identities.	Anticipates and responds appropriately to conflicts or misunderstandings arising from differences in backgrounds, languages, and identities in ways that lead students to be able to do the same independently. Models this practice for others.

Note: At the *Exemplary* level, an educator's level of expertise is such that he or she models this element **for other educators** through training, teaching, coaching, assisting, and/or demonstrating. In this rubric, this level of expertise is denoted by "**Models this practice for others.**"

Standards and Indicators of Effective Teaching Practice: DRAFT Teacher Rubric (2017 Proposed Refinements)

Indicator II-D. Expectations: Plans and implements lessons that set clear and high expectations and also make knowledge accessible for all students.				
II-D. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
II-D-1. Clear Expectations	Does not make specific academic and behavior expectations clear to students.	May announce and post classroom academic and behavior rules and consequences, but inconsistently or ineffectively enforces them.	Clearly communicates and consistently enforces specific standards for student work, effort, and behavior.	Clearly communicates and consistently enforces specific standards for student work, effort, and behavior so that all students are able to describe them and take ownership of meeting them. Models this practice for others.
II-D-1. High Expectations	Does not communicate specific academic and behavior expectations to students, and gives up on some students or communicates that some cannot master challenging material.	Occasionally communicates expectations for student work, effort, and behavior in the classroom, but inconsistently enforces these expectations and/or does little to counteract student misconceptions about innate ability.	Clearly communicates high standards for student work, effort, and behavior, and consistently reinforces the expectation that all students can meet these standards through effective effort, rather than innate ability.	Effectively communicates high standards for student work, effort, and behavior such that students take ownership of meeting them; models and reinforces ways that students can master challenging material through effective effort, and successfully challenges misconceptions about innate ability. Models this practice for others.
II-D-2. Access to Knowledge	Rarely adapts instruction, materials, and assessments to make challenging material accessible to all students.	Occasionally adapts instruction, materials, and assessments to make challenging material accessible to all students.	Consistently adapts instruction, materials, and assessments to make challenging material accessible to all students, including English learners and students with disabilities.	Individually and with colleagues, consistently adapts instruction, materials, and assessments to make challenging material accessible to all students, including English learners and students with disabilities; models approaches that lead students to access knowledge on their own as well. Models this practice for others.

Note: At the *Exemplary* level, an educator's level of expertise is such that he or she models this element **for other educators** through training, teaching, coaching, assisting, and/or demonstrating. In this rubric, this level of expertise is denoted by "**Models this practice for others.**"

Standards and Indicators of Effective Teaching Practice:

DRAFT Teacher Rubric (2017 Proposed Refinements)

Standard III: Family and Community Engagement. *The teacher promotes the learning and growth of all students through effective partnerships with families, caregivers, community members, and organizations.*

Indicator III-A. Engagement: Welcomes and encourages every family to become active participants in the classroom and school community.				
III-A. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
III-A-1. Parent/Family Engagement	Does not welcome families to become participants in the classroom and school community or actively discourages their participation.	Makes limited attempts to involve families in school and/or classroom activities, meetings, and planning.	Uses a variety of strategies to support every family to participate actively and appropriately in the classroom and/or school community.	Successfully engages all families using a variety of strategies that results in increased and/or more meaningful participation in the classroom and/or school community. Models this practice for others.

Indicator III-B. Collaboration: Collaborates with families to create and implement strategies for supporting student learning and development both at home and at school.				
III-B. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
III-B-1. Learning Expectations	Does not inform families about learning or behavior expectations.	Sends home only a list of classroom rules and the learning outline or syllabus for the year.	Consistently provides families with clear, user-friendly expectations for student learning and behavior.	Successfully communicates to families student learning and behavior expectations and their purposes, such that they can be readily reinforced at home. Models this practice for others.
III-B-2. Curriculum Support	Rarely, if ever, communicates with parents on ways to support children at home or at school.	Sends home occasional suggestions on how parents can support children at home or at school.	Regularly updates families on curriculum throughout the year and suggests strategies for supporting learning at school and home, including appropriate adaptation for students with disabilities or limited English proficiency.	Regularly updates families on curriculum throughout the year, and successfully prompts most families to use one or more suggested strategies for supporting learning at school and home. Consistently seeks out feedback and evidence of impact. Models this practice for others.

Indicator III-C. Communication: Engages in regular, two-way, and culturally proficient communication with families about student learning and performance.				
---	--	--	--	--

Note: At the *Exemplary* level, an educator's level of expertise is such that he or she models this element **for other educators** through training, teaching, coaching, assisting, and/or demonstrating. In this rubric, this level of expertise is denoted by "**Models this practice for others.**"

Standards and Indicators of Effective Teaching Practice: DRAFT Teacher Rubric (2017 Proposed Refinements)

III-C. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
III-C-1. Two-Way Culturally Proficient Communication	Communicates with or responds to families only through report cards, and/or communicates with families inappropriately or disrespectfully; makes no effort to understand different home languages, cultures, and values.	Relies primarily on newsletters and other one-way media to communicate with families ; usually responds promptly and respectfully to communications from families, but demonstrates inconsistent or little understanding of different home languages, cultures, and values.	Regularly communicates with families about student learning and performance, and invites and responds promptly to communications from families while demonstrating understanding of and respect for different home languages, cultures, and values.	Regularly uses a two-way system that supports frequent, proactive, and personalized communication with families about student learning and performance, while demonstrating understanding of appreciation for different families' home language, culture, and values. Models this practice for others.
III-C-2. Culturally Proficient Communication	Makes few attempts to respond to different family cultural norms and/or responds inappropriately or disrespectfully.	May communicate respectfully and make efforts to take into account different families' home language, culture, and values, but does so inconsistently or does not demonstrate understanding and sensitivity to the differences.	Always Communicates respectfully with families and demonstrates understanding of and sensitivity to different families' home language, culture, and values.	Always communicates respectfully with families and demonstrates understanding and of appreciation of for different families' home language, culture, and values. Models this practice for others.

Note: At the *Exemplary* level, an educator's level of expertise is such that he or she models this element **for other educators** through training, teaching, coaching, assisting, and/or demonstrating. In this rubric, this level of expertise is denoted by "**Models this practice for others.**"



Standards and Indicators of Effective Teaching Practice:

DRAFT Teacher Rubric (2017 Proposed Refinements)

Standard IV: Professional Culture. *The teacher promotes the learning and growth of all students through ethical, culturally proficient, skilled, and collaborative practice.*

Indicator IV-A. Reflection: Demonstrates the capacity to reflect on and improve the educator’s own practice, using informal means as well as meetings with teams and work groups to gather information, analyze data, examine issues, set meaningful goals, and develop new approaches in order to improve teaching and learning.				
IV-A. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
IV-A-1. Reflective Practice	Demonstrates limited reflection on practice and/or use of insights gained to improve practice.	May reflect on the effectiveness of lessons/ units and interactions with students <i>by oneself</i> , but not with colleagues, and/or rarely uses insights to improve practice.	Regularly reflects on the effectiveness of lessons, units, and interactions with students, both individually and with colleagues, and uses insights gained to improve practice and student learning.	Regularly reflects on the effectiveness of lessons, units, and interactions with students, both individually and with colleagues; uses and shares <i>back</i> with colleagues insights gained to improve practice and student learning. <i>Is able to model this element.</i>
IV-A-2. Goal Setting	Generally, participates passively in the goal-setting process and/or proposes goals that are vague or easy to reach.	Proposes goals that are sometimes vague or easy to achieve and/or bases goals on a limited self-assessment and analysis of student learning data.	Proposes and monitors challenging, measurable professional practice and student learning goals that are based on thorough self-assessment and analysis of student learning data.	Individually and with colleagues proposes and monitors challenging, measurable goals <i>that are</i> based on thorough self-assessment and analysis of student learning data, <i>and that elevate the practice of the educator(s) as well as the students.</i> Models this practice for others.

Note: At the *Exemplary* level, an educator’s level of expertise is such that he or she models this element *for other educators* through training, teaching, coaching, assisting, and/or demonstrating. In this rubric, this level of expertise is denoted by “*Models this practice for others.*”

Standards and Indicators of Effective Teaching Practice:

DRAFT Teacher Rubric (2017 Proposed Refinements)

Indicator IV-B. Professional Growth: Actively pursues professional development and learning opportunities to improve quality of practice or build the expertise and experience to assume different instructional and leadership roles.				
IV-B. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
IV-B-1. Professional Learning and Growth	Participates in few, if any, professional development and learning opportunities to improve practice and/or applies little new learning to practice.	Participates only in required professional development activities and/or inconsistently or inappropriately applies new learning to improve practice.	Consistently seeks out and applies, when appropriate, ideas for improving practice from supervisors, colleagues, professional development activities, and other resources to gain expertise and/or assume different instruction and leadership responsibilities.	Consistently seeks out and applies, when appropriate, ideas for improving practice from supervisors, colleagues, professional development activities, and other resources in a way that builds expertise of self and other educators, and improves student learning; assumes different instruction and leadership responsibilities. Is able to model this element.

Indicator IV-C. Collaboration: Collaborates effectively with colleagues on a wide range of tasks.				
IV-C. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
IV-C-1. Professional Collaboration	Rarely and/or ineffectively collaborates with colleagues; conversations often lack focus on improving student learning.	Does not consistently collaborate with colleagues in ways that support productive team effort.	Consistently and effectively collaborates with colleagues on a wide range of tasks, including but not limited to developing standards-based units and well-structured lessons, examining student work, analyzing student performance, and planning appropriate intervention.	Effectively leads peer collaboration in areas such as developing standards-based units and well-structured lessons, examining student work, analyzing student performance, and planning appropriate intervention. Is able to model this element.

Note: At the *Exemplary* level, an educator's level of expertise is such that he or she models this element **for other educators** through training, teaching, coaching, assisting, and/or demonstrating. In this rubric, this level of expertise is denoted by "**Models this practice for others.**"

Standards and Indicators of Effective Teaching Practice: DRAFT Teacher Rubric (2017 Proposed Refinements)

Indicator IV-D. Decision-Making: Becomes involved in schoolwide decision making, and takes an active role in school improvement planning.				
IV-D. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
IV-D-1. Decision-Making	Participates in planning and decision making at the school, department, and/or grade level only when asked and rarely contributes relevant ideas or expertise.	May participate in planning and decision making at the school, department, and/or grade level but rarely contributes relevant ideas or expertise.	Consistently contributes relevant ideas and expertise to planning and decision making at the school, department, and/or grade level.	Consistently contributes relevant ideas and expertise to planning and decision making at the school, department, and/or grade level that are critical to school improvement efforts. Is able to model this element.

Indicator IV-E. Shared Responsibility: Shares responsibility for the performance of all students within the school.				
IV-E. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
IV-E-1. Shared Responsibility	Rarely reinforces schoolwide behavior and learning expectations for all students and/or makes a limited contribution to their learning by rarely sharing responsibility for meeting their needs.	Within and beyond the classroom, inconsistently reinforces schoolwide behavior and learning expectations for all students, and/or makes a limited contribution to their learning by inconsistently sharing responsibility for meeting their needs.	Within and beyond the classroom, consistently reinforces schoolwide behavior and learning expectations for all students, and contributes to their learning by sharing responsibility for meeting their needs.	Individually and with colleagues, develops strategies and actions that contribute to the learning and productive behavior of all students at the school, within and beyond the classroom. Is able to model this element.

Note: At the *Exemplary* level, an educator's level of expertise is such that he or she models this element **for other educators** through training, teaching, coaching, assisting, and/or demonstrating. In this rubric, this level of expertise is denoted by "**Models this practice for others.**"

Standards and Indicators of Effective Teaching Practice: DRAFT Teacher Rubric (2017 Proposed Refinements)

Indicator IV-F. Professional Responsibilities: Is ethical and reliable, and meets routine responsibilities consistently.				
IV-F. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
IV-F-1. Judgment	Demonstrates poor professional judgment, fails to adhere to the school or district code of ethics , and/or discloses confidential student information inappropriately.	Sometimes demonstrates questionable professional judgment or insufficient knowledge of the school or district's existing code of ethics , and/or inadvertently shares confidential information.	Demonstrates sound, professional judgment, adheres to the school or district's existing code of ethics, and protects student confidentiality appropriately.	Models sound, professional judgment, adheres to the school or district's existing code of ethics , and protects student confidentiality appropriately. Is able to model this element.
IV-F-2. Reliability & Responsibility	Frequently misses or is late to assignments, makes errors in records, and/or misses paperwork deadlines; frequently late or absent.	Occasionally misses or is late to assignments, completes work late, and/or makes errors in records.	Consistently fulfills professional responsibilities; is consistently punctual and reliable with paperwork, duties, and assignments; and is rarely late or absent from school.	Consistently fulfills all professional responsibilities to high standards. Models this practice for others, including students.

Note: At the *Exemplary* level, an educator's level of expertise is such that he or she models this element **for other educators** through training, teaching, coaching, assisting, and/or demonstrating. In this rubric, this level of expertise is denoted by "**Models this practice for others.**"